

**CHANCELLOR'S STUDENT RESEARCH SCHOLARS
EVALUATION RUBRIC**

Semester/Year: _____ Student Name: _____ Program: _____

Qualifying Criteria:

Student is first author on TWU symposium presentation: _____

Work has been completed: _____

Criterion	Novice	Developing	Proficient	Advanced
Faculty nomination	Weak nomination letter. Faculty does not appear to know student, and nomination letter is vague and without much detail. 0 points	---	---	Strong nomination letter. Faculty knows student well, and nomination letter is detailed. 2 points
Vita: Academic level	Not included 0 points	---	---	Included 2 points
Vita: Complete and error free	Vita is incomplete and contains many grammar, spelling, or format errors. 0 points	Vita is mostly complete but contains several grammar, spelling, or format errors. 1 point	Vita is complete and contains only one or two grammar, spelling, or format errors. 2 points	Vita is complete and contains no grammar, spelling, or format errors. 3 points
Vita: Relevant research/ creative activities	Student has participated in research or creative activities, but vita does not show any presentations or performances by the student. 0 points	Student has completed one presentation or performance. 2 points	Student has completed multiple presentations and may have one or more non-refereed publications or performances. 4 points	Student has completed multiple presentations and has one or more peer-reviewed publications or juried presentations. 6 points
Symposium presentation: Relevant activities – – IF RESEARCH: Description of Objectives, Method, Results, Implications – IF CREATIVE: Description of Origin of activity, Design, Execution, Impact	Description of work does not include all relevant parts. Writing contains errors. Not clear if student fully knows and understands his/her work. 0 points	Description of work includes all relevant parts, but writing contains errors, or the description of work is unclear in some places. Not clear if student fully knows and understands his/her work. 2 points	Good description of all relevant parts. Fairly well written, with few writing errors. Student appears to know his/her work. 5 points	Thorough description of all relevant parts. Well written and easy to understand. Clear that student knows his/her work. 7 points