

Chancellor's Student Research Scholars Criteria

1. Students should present a brief (not to exceed two pages) vita or resume to the selection committee. This vita/resume should include the student's academic level and a listing of relevant creative/research activities, presentations, and publications and/or national juried activities. Publications/Juried Presentations reflect the highest level of scholarly activity. National and/or international presentations carry greater weight than local creative/research activities.
2. The student must be the first author on a presentation (poster, platform, or virtual) at TWU's Annual Student Creative Arts and Research Symposium. The abstract must be submitted by the deadline, Thursday, February 23, 2024, following the instructions for abstracts posted on the website. The abstract form submitted will be attached to this application and used as a part of the review.
 - A. The student's presentation should include work accomplished, not work to be accomplished.
 - B. For research activities, the student should be able to discuss the objectives, methodology, outcomes, and implications of the project.
 - C. For other creative/scholarly activities, the student should be able to discuss how the idea for the activity originated, how the activity was designed and executed, and what impact the activity has on his or her specific area of creative scholarly activity.

* * * * *

The following page contains the rubric used by the Research Committee of the Graduate Council in the past to evaluate the applications submitted to the Symposium. This rubric can be used as tool to assist with the preparation of materials for nominations.

**CHANCELLOR'S STUDENT RESEARCH SCHOLARS
EVALUATION RUBRIC**

Semester/Year: _____ Student Name: _____ Program: _____

Qualifying Criteria:

Student is first author on TWU symposium presentation: _____

Work has been completed: _____

Criterion	Novice	Developing	Proficient	Advanced
Faculty nomination	Weak nomination letter. Faculty does not appear to know student, and nomination letter is vague and without much detail. 0 points	---	---	Strong nomination letter. Faculty knows student well, and nomination letter is detailed. 2 points
Vita: Academic level	Not included 0 points	---	---	Included 2 points
Vita: Complete and error free	Vita is incomplete and contains many grammar, spelling, or format errors. 0 points	Vita is mostly complete but contains several grammar, spelling, or format errors. 1 point	Vita is complete and contains only one or two grammar, spelling, or format errors. 2 points	Vita is complete and contains no grammar, spelling, or format errors. 3 points
Vita: Relevant research/ creative activities	Student has participated in research or creative activities, but vita does not show any presentations or performances by the student. 0 points	Student has completed one presentation or performance. 2 points	Student has completed multiple presentations and may have one or more non-refereed publications or performances. 4 points	Student has completed multiple presentations and has one or more peer-reviewed publications or juried presentations. 6 points
Symposium presentation: Relevant activities – IF RESEARCH: Description of Objectives, Method, Results, Implications – IF CREATIVE: Description of Origin of activity, Design, Execution, Impact	Description of work does not include all relevant parts. Writing contains errors. Not clear if student fully knows and understands his/her work. 0 points	Description of work includes all relevant parts, but writing contains errors, or the description of work is unclear in some places. Not clear if student fully knows and understands his/her work. 2 points	Good description of all relevant parts. Fairly well written, with few writing errors. Student appears to know his/her work. 5 points	Thorough description of all relevant parts. Well written and easy to understand. Clear that student knows his/her work. 7 points